

Name Model

Topic Human-Animal Interaction



Area of Investigation Animal Usage in Society

<b>SOURCE</b>	Title: <u>Monkey Business: Animal Testing Sparks Debate</u>	Location: <u>Weekly Reader</u>
# <u>5</u>	Author: <u>Weekly Reader</u>	Text Type: <u>Magazine</u> Publication Date: <u>Jan 31, 2003</u>
<b>General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:</b> This article focuses on the debate surrounding vivisection: experimentation on animals for medical purposes. It asks the important question of whether it is worth risking the lives of animals to save those of humans. Researchers say they try not to hurt the monkeys because that affects the results negatively. However, animal rights activists think that there are better and cheaper ways to do the same research.		Connection to Inquiry Paths: <u>1, 2 &amp; 3</u>
Credibility: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	Relevance/Richness: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	Accessibility/Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low

<b>SOURCE</b>	Title: <u>Animal Experimentation is Justified</u>	Location: <u>GALE online repository</u>
# <u>8</u>	Author: <u>Stuart Derbyshire</u>	Text Type: <u>Essay</u> Publication Date: <u>2004</u>
<b>General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:</b> Derbyshire presents a powerful argument urging scientists to defend the work that they do which helps save human lives. He asserts that the three "Rs" are more like a confession that using animals to better humans' lives is a "necessary evil." Derbyshire shows the importance of animal research and that researchers should not be concerned with the welfare of animals - this is the price to help humanity.		Connection to Inquiry Paths: <u>1,2,3</u>
Credibility: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	Relevance/Richness: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	Accessibility/Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low

<b>SOURCE</b>	Title: <u>Title: Italian Researchers Reply to Animal Facility Occupation</u>	Location: <u>: http://www.prometeusmagazine.org/wordpress/2013/04/23/italian-researchers-reply-to-animal-facility-occupation/</u>
# <u>7</u>	Author: <u>Researchers and associates of the Milan section of the CNR Institute of Neuroscience</u>	Text Type: <u>Opinion letter</u> Publication Date: <u>April 23, 2013</u>
<b>General Content / Key Ideas / Personal Comments:</b> This is a letter by Italian researchers condemning the occupation of their research facility. They use the letter to argue that their research is for the benefit of humans and to find cures to diseases like Alzheimer's. They also point out that they have followed strict national and international rules to conduct their experiments.		Connection to Inquiry Paths: <u>1,2,3</u>
Credibility: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	Relevance/Richness: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	Accessibility/Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low